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## POMDP model of educational processes



- $X_{t}\left(\theta_{t}\right)$ - Latent proficiency process
- $Y_{t}$ - Observable outcomes
- $Z_{t}$ - Background variables
- $A_{t}$ - Action taken at each time step
- $Q_{t}$ - Measurement plan ( $Q$-matrix)


## Challenges in the POMDP model

- Estimating student trajectories with known parameters (particle filter).
- Find parameters of evidence models (single time slice).
- Find parameters of proficiency growth model (causal model for actions).
- Find optimal measurement plan (sequence of $Q$ 's).
- Find optimal plan for student (sequence of actions conditioned on observations).


## Particle Filter: Estimating student trajectories

- Sequential importance sampling:
(1) Simulate $R$ trajectories: $X_{0}^{(r)}, \ldots, X_{T}^{(r)}$.
(2) Calculate weight $w^{(r)}$ for generating observations given trajectory.
(3) $\tilde{X}_{t}=\sum_{r} w^{(r)} X_{t}^{(r)}$
- Calculations factor iteratively across time slices.
- Works for arbitrary choice of (cross-sectional) evidence model and (longitudinal) proficiency growth model.


## Evidence models: The cross sectional piece

The cross-sectional piece of the model often takes on a familiar functional form.
Latent Variable Observed Variable Model
Normal Normal Regression, Factor Analysis
Normal Discrete (Multivariate) IRT

Discrete Normal Conditional Gaussian, Clustering
Discrete Discrete
Bayes net, CDMs
Often a matrix $Q$ is used to determine which proficiency variables are relevant for which observations.
$q_{j k}=1$ iff Proficiency $k$ is relevant for Observable $j$.


## BQ-Regression: Restricted regression models

- Restrict to the normal-normal case.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Y}_{t}=\mathbf{B}_{t} \mathbf{X}_{t}+\mathbf{b}_{0 t} \mathbf{1}+\mathbf{E}_{t} \quad \text { where } \quad \mathbf{E}_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, S_{\mathbf{Y}_{t} \mathbf{Y}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{t}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Restrict $b_{j k}=0$ if $q_{j k}=0$.
- Call this a $B Q$-Regression
- Could be missing data in $Y$. (Assume MAR)
- Need to be able to weight observations (importance sampling)
- Restrict to a single time slice (drop $t$ ).


## Introduction

- Goal is to find $B, b_{0}$ and $S_{\mathbf{Y Y . X}}$ subject to restriction $Q$.
- The $j$ th row can be found by regressing $Y_{j}$ on the $X_{k}$ values for which $q_{j k}=1$.
- The sweep operator (Beaton, 1964; Dempster, 1969) will calculate the appropriate coefficients from the covariance matrix.
- To get BQ-regression, for each observable just sweep out $X$ values which correspond to the 1's in that row of the $Q$-matrix.
- Can get residual covariance matrix by calculating residuals and then calculating sum of squares.
- More work is needed if any of the $\mathbf{Y}$ values are missing.


## Missing data and the sweep operator

- Little and Rubin (1986/2002) use the Sweep operator as part of an EM algorithm for missing data in the multivariate normal setting.
- Assumes data are missing at random.
- For each missing data pattern:
(1) Sweep the matrix $\mathbf{T}$ to predict the missing values for this pattern from the observed value.
(2) Do a regression imputation for the missing value.
(3) Adjust the covariance matrix for for expected covariance (particularly, diagonal) (Let $\mathbf{T}^{\prime \prime}$ be the matrix of adjustments. Final adjsted matrix is

$$
\mathbf{T}^{(i+1)}=\mathbf{Y}_{+}^{(i) T} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}_{+}^{(i)}+\mathbf{T}^{\prime}
$$

- Converges in one pass for monotone missing data patterns.
- For non-monotone patterns requires EM algorithm.


## Calculating the residual covariance matrix.

- Tricky part is calculating residual covariance matrix in the presence of missing data.
- Looks like E-step above, only now uses patterns in Q-matrix rather than missingness patterns.
- Add partial covariance matrix to covariance matrix adjustment ( $\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$ ) as before.
- Cross-product terms should be okay if local independence assumption (observables independent given latent variables) holds.


## Importance Sampling

- Assume $\mathbf{X}$ is normally distributed with parameters $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.
- Let evidence model parameters be $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$.
- Estimate $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ using EM-algorithm.
- E-step is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p\left(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{(i)}\right) p\left(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(i)}\right) d \mathbf{X}=\prod_{n=1}^{N} \int p\left(\mathbf{y}_{n} \mid \mathbf{x}_{n}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{(i)}\right) p\left(\mathbf{x}_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(i)}\right) d \mathbf{x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Key idea: Use Monte Carlo integration to tackle the integral.


## Stochastic E-step

- For each individual $n$, draw $R$ possible realizations of $\mathbf{x}_{n}$,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{n}^{(1, i)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}^{(R, i)}
$$

- Calculate weights based on likelihood of generating data sequence.

$$
w_{n}^{(r, i) *}=p\left(\mathbf{y}_{n} \mid \mathbf{x}_{n}^{(r, i)}, \mathbf{Q}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(i)}\right)
$$

- Normalize the weights.

$$
w_{n}^{(r, i)}=w_{n}^{(r, i) *} / \sum_{r^{\prime}=1}^{R} w_{n}^{\left(r^{\prime}, i\right) *}
$$

## M-Step

- M-step is just weighted least squares (if $\mathbf{Y}$ is fully observed).
- Trick: we can simply stack replicate data sets on top of each other.
- Estimate $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(i+1)}$ by calculating weighted mean and variance.
- Estimate $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(i+1)}$ through a BQ-regression.


## Starting Values

- Starting from a unit normal distribution produces a slow moving chain.
- Possibly start based on raw scores based on $Q$-matrix relevant items to get closer to individual ability.
- Still area of active research.


## Conclusions and Future Work

- BQ-Regression works and is fully tested for easy cases: (weights only, arbitrary $Q$-matrix only, missing data only).
- Still needs more testing in the hard case (weights, missing values, and zeros in $Q$-matrix).
- Importance sampling still needs more work, particularly, starting values.
- Want to test against MCMC algorithm.


## Getting the Software

- The source code is available from http://pluto.coe.fsu.edu/RNetica/RGAutils.html
- Currently source package only, eventually binary (possible CRAN release).
- Question to mailto:ralmond@fsu.edu.


## Introduction

- Goal is to find $B, b_{0}$ and $S_{\mathbf{Y Y} . \mathbf{X}}$ subject to restriction $Q$.
- The $j$ th row can be found by regressing $Y_{j}$ on the $X_{k}$ values for which $q_{j k}=1$.
- The sweep operator (Beaton, 1964; Dempster, 1969) will calculate the appropriate coefficients from the covariance matrix.
$\operatorname{SWP}[k] \mathbf{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}m_{i j}-m_{i k} m_{k j} / m_{k k} & m_{i k} / m_{k k} & m_{i j}-m_{i k} m_{k j} / m_{k k} \\ m_{k j} / m_{k k} & -1 / m_{k k} & m_{k j} / m_{k k} \\ m_{i j}-m_{i k} m_{k j} / m_{k k} & m_{i k} / m_{k k} & m_{i j}-m_{i k} m_{k j} / m_{k k}\end{array}\right]$
- Sweep operator can be chained to regress out multiple variables.


## Calculating the weighted sum of squares

- Let $\mathbf{Y}_{+}$be a matrix formed by joining a column of 1's, $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{X}$.
- Let $\mathbf{W}$ be a matrix with the weights on the diagonals (and zeros elsewhere).
- Let $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{Y}_{+}^{T} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}_{+}$

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sum w & \sum w \mathbf{y} & \sum w \mathbf{x} \\
\sum w \mathbf{y} & \sum w \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{y} & \sum w \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
\sum w \mathbf{x} & \sum w \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y} & \sum w \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}
\end{array}\right] . \operatorname{SWP}[1] \mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 / \sum w & \overline{\mathbf{y}} & \overline{\mathbf{x}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{y}} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y y}} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y x}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x y}} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x x}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Regressing out X

- Now sweep out the rows and columns corresponding to the latent variables $\mathbf{X}$.

$$
S W P[1, \mathbf{X}] \mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
* & * & \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{0}  \tag{6}\\
* & \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{y y} \cdot \mathbf{x}} & \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{\prime} \\
\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{0} & \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{T} & -\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x x}}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- To get BQ-regression, just sweep out $X$ values which correspond to the 1's in that row of the $Q$-matrix.
- Can get residual covariance matrix by calculating residuals and then calculating sum of squares.
- More work is needed if any of the $\mathbf{Y}$ values are missing.


## EM model for multivariate normal

- Choose initial estimates for regression parameters, $\left(\mathbf{b}_{0}^{(0)}, \mathbf{B}^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text {y } \cdot \mathbf{x}}^{(0)}\right)$
- Arrange these as an augmented covariance matrix, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(0)}$ by using the reserve sweep operator.
- Note that $\mathbf{T}$ is a sufficient statistic.
- E-Step Calculate $\mathbf{T}^{(i+1)}=E\left[\mathbf{T} \mid \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(i)}\right]$.
- M-Step Use a BQ-regression to find $\left(\mathbf{b}_{0}^{(i+1)}, \mathbf{B}^{(i+1)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y y . x}}^{(i+1)}\right)$
- Iterate until convergence.


## E-step detail

(1) Set up a 0 matrix $\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$ of the same size as $\mathbf{T}$.
(2) Make a copy, $\mathbf{Y}_{+}^{(i)}$ of the augmented data matrix.
(3) For each missing data pattern:
(1) Sweep $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(i)}$ to regress the missing values on the others.
(2) Use regression imputation to impute the missing values in $\mathbf{Y}++^{(i)}$.
(3) Let $n_{p}$ be the sum of the weights of the missing values. Let $S_{\mathbf{y}_{\text {miss }} \mathbf{y}_{m i s s} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{\text {obs }}}$ be the residual covariance matrix. Add $n_{p} S_{\mathbf{y}_{\text {miss }} \mathbf{y}_{\text {miss }} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{\text {obs }}}$ to the corresponding rows and columns of $\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$.
(1) Calculate $\mathbf{T}^{(i+1)}=\mathbf{Y}_{+}^{(i) T} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}_{+}^{(i)}+\mathbf{T}^{\prime}$

